# Purbrook Junior School Pupil Premium Strategy Statement 2017- 2018

1. Summary information							
School	Purbrook Jur	Purbrook Junior School					
Academic Year	2017 2018	Total PP budget	104,120	Date of most recent PP Review	Sept. 2016		
Total number of pupils	377	Number of pupils eligible for PP	71	Date for next internal review of this strategy	March 2018		

i. 2017 Out	comes: Working at the ex	pected standard	d							
	National Average ALL	PJS PP	PJS NON PP	Gap	Hants PP	Hants NON PP	Hants Gap	NA Disadv.	NA(Other)	NA Gap
Reading 2017	71%	64%	67%	3%	62%	80%	18%	59%	77%	18%
2016 v 2017	+5%	+28%	-1%						+6%	
Writing 2017	76%	72%	85%	13%	66%	84%	18%	65%	81%	16%
2016 v 2017	+2%	+8%	-5%						+2%	
Maths 2017	75%	57%	76%	19%	60%	82%	22%	63%	80%	17%
2016 v 2017	+5%	+2%	+4%						+5%	
Spag 2017	77%	75%	77%	2%	63%	83%	20%	66%	82%	16%
2016 v 2017	+5%	+11%	+5%						+4%	
R, W(TA), Ma Combined 2017	61%	43%	56%	13%	46%	70%	24%	47%	67%	20%
2016 v 2017	+8%	+26%	+3%						+7%	

ii. 2017 Outco	ii. 2017 Outcomes: Working above the expected standard									
	National Average ALL	PJS PP	PJS NON	Gap	Hants PP	Hants Non PP	Gap	NA Disadv.	NA Other	Gap
Reading 2017	25%	11%	17%	6%	14%	33%	19%	14%	29%	15%
2016 v 2017		+2%	+6%							
Writing 2017	18%	7%	20%	13%	11%	26%	15%	9%	21%	12%
2016 v 2017		-2%	-4%							
Maths 2017	23%	0%	14%	14%	11%	18%	7%	12%	27%	15%
2016 v 2017		-5%	+6%							
Spag 2017	31%	4%	20%	16%	16%	34%	18%	20%	35%	15%
2016 v 2017		-5%	-1%							
R, W(TA), Ma Combined 2017	9%	0%	6%	6%	4%	13%	9%	4%	11%	7%
2016 v 2017		-5%	+5%							

3. Ba	rriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)
In-school	I barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)
A	Maths – Purbrook Junior School End of Key Stage 2 2016 and 2017 results in maths are below the Disadvantaged National Average and Hampshire Disadvantaged Average at ARE and Greater Depth. The scaled scores have remained static for the last two years too, despite a very slight increase for PJS non Pupil Premium pupils.  End of Key Stage 1 data suggests that the gap in attainment between pupil premium pupils and non-pupil premium on entry is wide, particularly for our current Year 3, 4 and Year 6 pupils. Internal data suggests that some of our pupils are not making accelerated progress to improve these outcomes rapidly enough.
В	Reading – End of Key Stage 1 data suggests that the attainment gaps are wide, most noticeable in our current Year 3 and Year 6. Despite significant improvements in the percentages of pupil premium pupils attaining ARE in End of Key Stage 2 tests in 2017 compared to National Averages and Hampshire averages, internal data suggests that a continued focus to improve the percentages of pupils on track for ARE and Greater Depth in reading across the school is needed so that we at least match National 'Other' Averages.
С	<b>Writing</b> – End of Key Stage 1 data suggests that the attainment gaps are wide, most noticeable in our current Year 3, 4 and 6. Significant improvements in the percentages of pupil premium pupils attaining ARE in End of Key Stage 2 tests and teacher assessments were demonstrated in 2017. Internal data suggests that a continued focus to improve the percentages of pupils on track for ARE and Greater Depth in writing across the school is needed so that we at least match National 'Other' Averages.
D	SEND pupils and Pupil Premium pupils –25% of the whole school Pupil Premium Cohort also have SEND. In our current Year 3 60% of pupil premium pupils are also SEND, in Year 4 28% of PP pupils are also on the SEND register, in Year 5 – 10% of PP pupils are also on the SEND register and in Year 6 – 21% of PP pupils are also on the SEND register. Some of our Pupil Premium pupils who also have SEND find accelerating their progress to be on track for Age Related Expectation challenging. Some pupils find transferring the skills and learning from specific interventions in order to demonstrate their ability a challenge too. Therefore we will focus on accelerating the progress of some of our PP pupils who also have SEND, particularly in Year 3, 4 and 6.
E	Greater Depth – According to the End of Key Stage 2 outcomes in 2017, the percentage of Pupil Premium pupils working at greater depth in writing and maths did not meet or exceed the Hampshire or National Averages for disadvantaged pupils. End of Key Stage 1 data for our current Year 6 indicates percentages were particularly low at the end of KS1. Internal data suggests that there have been improvements for our Year 6 pupil premium pupils on track for attaining Greater Depth and we need to focus on this across the school so that outcomes match and exceed particularly in writing and maths.

External bar	External barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)						
F	Attendance - Attendance rates for some of our pupils have not improved rapidly enough. Some of our pupils are persistently absent and come to school not ready to learn.						
G	Ready to learn - Some of our pupils come to school not ready to learn due to issues beyond their control.						

#### 4. Outcomes Desired Outcomes and how they will be measured Success Criteria To improve the percentages of pupil premium pupils working at Age Early identification of individual needs of pupil premium pupils Related Expectation and Greater Depth in maths Pupil Premium Learning Passports will be in place for pupils or SEND **Review July 2018** passports will be in place which clearly identify individual needs, interventions and targets Year 3: Reading Pupil progress meetings will take place half termly and appropriate 58% of PP pupils are currently on track for ARE or above. 5% are on track intervention/action will be identified and begin swiftly Each pupil premium pupil will be tracked closely as to progress made and for GD. 11% more Pupil Premium pupils are now working at ARE or above in intervention experienced reading by July 2018 compared to EKS1 outcomes. Impact statements will identify impact of interventions and allow for review Outcomes for this cohort in reading now exceed their EKS1 outcomes. Teachers will be highly attuned and be able to provide detailed case studies if Progress rates have been accelerated at 7.3 steps of progress. requested Progress rates were stronger than both non disadvantaged and non PP Maths outcomes will have improved as demonstrated by the EKS2 2018 pupils. Groups who had particularly strong progress rates: Males, FSM, percentage of pupils achieving ARE and Greater Depth LAC and Disadvantaged SEND pupils. There will be an improvement in the scaled scores in EKS2 2018 data There will be a significant reduction in the gap between National 'Other' Pupils and Purbrook Junior School Pupil Premium pupils at the end of the academic The attainment gap between PP and non PP pupils has narrowed from 39% at the end of Key Stage 1 to 15% by the end of Year 3. vear End of Year Targets will be achieved by each year group across the school Year 3: Writing 32% of PP pupils are currently on track for ARE or above. 16 % are on track for GD. Progress rates are stronger than minimum expectations. Progress rates were stronger than both non disadvantaged and non PP pupils. Groups who had particularly strong progress rates: Males, FSM, LAC and Disadvantaged SEND pupils.

The attainment gap between PP and non PP pupils has narrowed from 39% at the end of Key Stage 1 to 33% by the end of Year 3. However, we are very mindful that the outcomes for this cohort were very low at the EKS1(27%). They continue to be very low in writing at 32% on track for ARE.

#### Year 3: Maths

53% of PP pupils are working at ARE or above, with 5% at Greater Depth. Outcomes for this cohort in maths now exceed their EKS1 outcomes. Progress rates accelerated at 8.7 steps of progress. Progress rates were stronger than both non disadvantaged and non PP pupils. Groups who had particularly strong progress rates: Males, FSM and Disadvantaged SEND pupils.

# Attainment gaps compared to EKS1

The attainment gaps have narrowed between PP and non PP from EKS1 to end of Year 3.

Reading 39% to 15% Writing: 39% to 33% Maths: 57% to 23% Combined: 49% to 29%

## **Greater Depth outcomes:**

The attainment gap between PP and non PP have remained the same in maths and overall combined. In reading and writing they have widened.

## Focus for 2018 - 2019 - Year 4

- Increasing attainment outcomes in writing as they are very low, although have improved to 32%. This directly impacts on the overall combined figures for PP pupils.
- Increasing the percentage of PP pupils on track for GD in reading and writing so that the gaps start to narrow.
- Raising the attainment of this cohort in reading and maths.
- Transition from Year 3 to Year 4.

# Year 4: Reading

50% of pupils are currently on track for ARE or above. 0% are on track for GD. There are fewer pupils on track for ARE or above compared to EKS1 and compared to End of Year 3 outcomes (11% fewer)

Data analysis indicates that in the last half of the summer term, some of our PP pupils did not reach the expected standard despite being on track up to that point. Interestingly, non PP pupils' attainment has also dipped compared to their EKS1 and EoY3 outcomes; therefore, this would indicate that it is not just a PP issue.

Progress rates for all PP pupils were in line with minimum expectations in reading. For 2018 2019, key progress foci will be on accelerating the progress of disadvantaged girls, current FSM pupils and PP without SEND needs so that the gap that has developed is eradicated.

#### Year 4: Writing

50% of pupils are currently on track for ARE or above. 19% are on track for GD. There are 7% more pupils on track for ARE or above compared to EKS1 and compared to End of Year 3. For 2018 2019, key progress focus will be on non FSM PP writers.

#### Year 4: Maths

63% of PP pupils are working at ARE and above, with 25% working at greater Depth in maths

20% more pupils are working at ARE or above in maths by July 2018 compared to EoY3 outcomes. Outcomes for this cohort now exceed EKS1 outcomes in maths for this cohort by 27%.

Progress rates for PP pupils were accelerated. Progress rates were accelerated for all PP pupils at 7.2 steps and were stronger than non PP pupils by 0.7.

Groups who had particularly strong progress rates are: Males, FSM and Disadvantaged SEND pupils. The attainment gap between PP and non PP pupils has narrowed.

## **Attainment gaps compared to EKS1**

Reading: widened from 4% to 11%

Writing: 24% to 13% Maths: 41% to 23% Combined: 29% to 10%

## **Greater Depth Outcomes**

The attainment gaps have narrowed in all areas. However, we are mindful that there are fewer PP and non PP working at GD in reading and combined. In writing, there have been significant shifts – there are now 12% of PP pupils working at GD compared to 0% at the EKS1. In maths there are now 18% more PP pupils working at GD compared to EKS1. The gap in attainment has narrowed from 24% to 11% in maths.

#### Focus for 2018 - 2019 - Year 5

- Reading foci will be on accelerating the progress of disadvantaged girls, current FSM pupils and PP without SEND needs so that the gap that has developed is eradicated.
- Non FSM writers progress and attainment
- Transition from Year 4 to Year 5.

## Year 5:Reading

55% of PP pupils are currently at ARE or above, 5% of pupils are working at Greater Depth. Rates of progress for PP pupils in reading were below minimum expectations.

In July, there is a 35% difference between the outcomes at the EKS 1 and outcomes at the End of Year 5. For non PP the difference is 25%. The progress rates of males, SEND and non FSM pupils will need to be a key foci so that outcomes improve in time for the EKS 2 tests.

#### Year 5: Writing

32% of PP pupils are currently at ARE or above, 5% of pupils are working at Greater Depth. Rates of progress for PP pupils in writing were below minimum expectations, but the strongest out of all of the three key areas. In July, there was a 53% gap difference between outcomes at the EKS 1 and outcomes at the End of Year 5. The difference for non PP exists too, but is significantly smaller at 25%.

The progress rates of males, SEND and non FSM pupils will need to be a key foci so that outcomes improve in time for the EKS 2 tests.

#### Year 5: Maths

55% of PP pupils are working at ARE and above, with 5% working at GD, Progress rates were broadly in line with minimum expectations. Female PP pupils' progress rates were stronger than males progress rates. FSM and non FSM were broadly the same and broadly in line with expected 6 steps of progress. In July, there is a 30% difference in attainment for PP compared to EKS1 outcomes. The difference for non PP exists too, but is smaller at 17%.

Progress rates were broadly the same for PP pupils and non PP pupils.

# **Attainment gaps compared to EKS1**

In Year 5 the gaps have widened in all areas. Current attainment for this cohort of PP pupils is low especially considering their extremely string starting points at the EKS1. However, it is worth noting that this is the last cohort to be judged under the 'old levels'.

Reading: +8% to -7% Writing: +3% to -25% Maths: +5% to -18% Combined: 1% to -22%.

# **Greater Depth outcomes**

The gap have narrowed in reading and writing between PP and non PP pupils. However, improving the rates of GD for both PP and non PP pupils is a key point on the SIP. The gap has widened in maths.

## Focus for 2018 - 2019 - Year 6

- Improving attainment outcomes for PP pupils in reading, writing and maths so that the gaps are diminished.
- Improve attainment outcomes in writing as they are currently at 32% and directly impact on the overall combined for PP pupils.
- We are aware that there are key target children who need to continue to be a focus in order to be more in line with their EKS1 outcomes.
- There continues to be a need to improve outcomes for PP pupils so that they are more in line with their extremely strong EKS1 outcomes and National Averages.

## Year 6: Reading

59% of disadvantaged pupils achieved the expected standard or above in the EKS2 tests. This represents a decrease of 2% compared to 2016/2017. 9% attained GD. This represent a 5% decrease compared to 2016/2017 outcomes. The scales score has dipped from 102.9 in 2016/2017 to 101.2 in 2017/2018. However, if we compare EKS1 outcomes for this cohort of pupils' outcomes are in fact improving for the vast majority of prior attainment groups and the attainment gaps have been the smallest over the last three years. (With the exception of 2c+FSM pupils on entry – outcomes for pupils in reading at EKS1 was significantly below NA at all levels for FSM pupils).

In Year 6, 23% of PP pupils were also identified on the SEND register. Some pupils were unable to access the curriculum and did not sit the tests. Specific number are not published on this review in order to protect their anonymity however, if these pupils are omitted from progress data, progress rates would be -2.14 (not -3.4 with those pupils included).

Sound Training - January 2018 9 out of 20 Pupil Premium pupils received Sound Training – a six week intervention run by an external; provider.

# PJS outcomes 2018:+18 months gain

80% of pupils attained ARE 5% attained ARE+

# Year 6: Writing

73% of disadvantaged pupils achieved the expected standard or above in the EKS2 Writing (TA). This represents an increase of 1% compared to 2016/2017. 5% attained GD. This represent a 2% decrease compared to 2016/2017 outcomes. However, if we compare EKS1 outcomes for this

cohort of pupils' outcomes are in fact improving for the vast majority of prior attainment groups and the attainment gaps have been the smallest over the last three years, with the exceptions of 2c and level 3 FSM pupil premium pupils.

68% of disadvantaged pupils achieved the expected standard or above in SPAG tests; 9% attained GD. There was a 5% increased of pupils attaining GD compared to 2016/2017 outcomes.

Compared to EKS1 TA outcomes for this cohort, outcomes at the expected standard are broadly in line, however they are 11% below at Greater Depth.

In Year 6, 23% of PP pupils were also identified on the SEND register. Some pupils were unable to access the curriculum and did not sit the tests. Specific number are not published on this review in order to protect their anonymity however, in terms of progress rates, if these pupils are omitted from progress data, progress rates would be +1.17( not 0.2 with those pupils included)

#### Year 6: Maths

В

We strive for our PP pupils to at least match National Averages.. 50% of disadvantaged pupils attained ARE or above in maths. This represent s 7% decline from the previous year. Further analysis however shows that the entry levels for this cohort in maths was 14% lower at the end EKS1 compared to the previous cohort. Therefore, the attainment gap compared to EKS1 has in fact narrowed compared to the previous year by 7% when compared to EKS 1 outcomes. This picture was also reflected for our FSM cohort of PP pupils. Interestingly, FSM pupils' attainment was significantly below at the EKS1 too. Improving the outcomes of PP pupils, in particular those who are FSM will be an area of consideration for the next academic year.

Analysis has also highlighted is that the gap for PP pupils who entered at 2b+ has remained static for the last two years. (Detailed analysis of this can be provided if requested).

In Year 6, 23% of PP pupils were also identified on the SEND register. Some pupils were unable to access the curriculum and did not sit the tests. Specific number are not published on this review in order to protect their anonymity however, if these pupils are omitted from progress data, progress rates would be -2.96 ( not -3.8 with those pupils included in the data).

To improve the percentages of pupil premium pupils working at Age Related Expectation and Greater Depth in reading

Early identification of individual needs of pupil premium pupils
Pupil Premium Learning Passports will be in place for pupils or SEND
passports will be in place which clearly identify individual needs,
interventions and targets

		Pupil progress meetings will take place half termly and appropriate
		intervention/action will be identified and begin swiftly
		Each pupil premium pupil will be tracked closely as to progress made and
		intervention experienced
		Impact statements will identify impact of interventions and allow for review
		Teachers will be highly attuned and be able to provide detailed case studies if
		requested
		Reading outcomes will have improved as demonstrated by the EKS2 2018
		percentage of pupils achieving expected progress and greater depth.
		There will be an improvement in the scaled scores in EKS2 2018 data
		There will be a significant reduction in the gap between National 'Other' Pupils
		and Purbrook Junior School Pupil Premium pupils at the end of the academic
		year
		End of Year Targets will be achieved by each year group across the school
С	To improve the percentages of pupil premium pupils working at Age	Early identification of individual needs of pupil premium pupils
	Related Expectation and Greater Depth in writing	Pupil Premium Learning Passports will be in place for pupils or SEND
		passports will be in place which clearly identify individual needs, interventions
		and targets
		Pupil progress meetings will take place half termly and appropriate
		Each pupil premium pupil will be tracked closely as to progress made and
		intervention experienced
		Impact statements will identify impact of interventions and allow for review
		Teachers will be highly attuned and be able to provide detailed case studies if
		requested
		Writing outcomes will have improved as demonstrated by the EKS2 2018
		percentage of pupils achieving expected progress and greater depth.
		There will be a significant reduction in the gap between National Other Pupils
		and school pupil premium pupils at the end of the academic year
		There will be an improvement in the scaled scores in EKS2 2018 data
		End of Year Targets will be achieved by each year group across the school
D	To improve the outcomes for PP children with SEND in reading, writing	PP leader and SENCo reviews will evaluate the impact of interventions,
	and maths	review progress and adjust provision
		Pupil progress meetings will take place
	Year 3	Appropriate and effective interventions will take place and progress tracked –
	SEND PP Progress rates have been accelerated in reading, writing and	
	maths. Their progress rates are stronger than non SEND PP Pupils	SENCo will provide written reports of impact of interventions
	Year 4	
	SEND PP Progress rates have been accelerated in reading and maths.	
	Their progress rates match non SEND pupils in writing.	
	Year 5	
	Their progress rates do not match non SEND PP rates of progress in	
	To improve the outcomes for PP children with SEND in reading, writing and maths  Year 3  SEND PP Progress rates have been accelerated in reading, writing and maths. Their progress rates are stronger than non SEND PP Pupils  Year 4  SEND PP Progress rates have been accelerated in reading and maths. Their progress rates have been accelerated in reading and maths. Their progress rates have been accelerated in reading and maths. Their progress rates match non SEND pupils in writing.  Year 5  SEND PP Progress rates are in line with minimum expectations in maths.	End of Year Targets will be achieved by each year group across the school  Early identification of individual needs of pupil premium pupils Pupil Premium Learning Passports will be in place for pupils or SEND passports will be in place which clearly identify individual needs, interventions and targets Pupil progress meetings will take place half termly and appropriate intervention/action will be identified and begin swiftly Each pupil premium pupil will be tracked closely as to progress made and intervention experienced Impact statements will identify impact of interventions and allow for review Teachers will be highly attuned and be able to provide detailed case studies requested Writing outcomes will have improved as demonstrated by the EKS2 2018 percentage of pupils achieving expected progress and greater depth. There will be a significant reduction in the gap between National Other Pupils and school pupil premium pupils at the end of the academic year There will be an improvement in the scaled scores in EKS2 2018 data End of Year Targets will be achieved by each year group across the school PP leader and SENCo reviews will evaluate the impact of interventions, review progress and adjust provision Pupil progress meetings will take place

		10		T
	reading and v		ed circificant OFND acada	
	and were una access the re	SEND and PP. 2 of these pupils hable to access any of the tests. Ano rading or maths tests. None of the Pe in reading, writing and maths. Our by the end of KS2.	ther child was unable to P SEND pupils attained	
<u> </u>	line with or ex the number of 13 families ha	attendance of Pupil Premium Pupils receds National averages across all pupils who are persistently absent ave been invited to attend the structure are acrossed with this process.	year groups and reduce	Attendance will be consistently in line or above 97% in all year groups There will be a reduction in the number of pupil premium pupils who are persistently absent Structured conversations and reviews will take place at least once per ter with the parents/carers of targeted pupils
	Family 1	engaged with this process.  Prior attedance82.79%	Attendance in July 2018: 86%	Numbers of children invited to attendance reward evening will increase or termly basis HSLW will be able to provide evidence of impact with families of those pu who are persistently absent
	Family 2	Invite – met Autumn Term	Left school	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Family 3	Invite – met Autumn Term	Left school	
	Family 4	From Autumn Term – met with family on a termly basis.	Attendance in July 2018: 98%	
	Family 5	Structured conversation took place in spring term - 84% attendance.	May 2018: Current attendance 86.4% this has improved by 2%. July 2018: 85%.	
	Family 6	From Autumn Term – met with family on a termly basis.(90%)	July: 93% (Stronger than previous academic year)	
	Family 7	From Autumn Term – met with family.	Historic attendance 87.6%. July 2018: 97%	
	Family 8	From Autumn Term – met with family on a termly basis.(90%)	Improved attendance from 85.7% to 87.5%within initial meeting time. July 2018: 90%%	
	Family 9	Historic: 93.6%	Improved to 97.3%	

	Family 10	Autumn Term:93.6	Improved to 96.6%	
			<u>.                                      </u>	
F		support pupils and their families se		A clear and effective referral process is known and used by all staff when an
	ready to learn behaviourally.	emotionally, socially, physically, m	ientally and/or	individual requires emotional support.  Key members within the pastoral team e.g. SENCo, HSLW, ELSA, PSA will
	benaviourally.			ensure intervention is appropriate following initial baseline assessment
		eam continue to provide invaluable		Parents will be fully aware and involved in the work of the HSLW/ELSA and
		ies. The support is carefully tailore		work in partnership to support their children at home using consistent
		r families and to that end varies in one of the support. Families are also		strategies Identified pupils will engage well in their learning and demonstrate increasing
		ho can provide specialist support.	30 signiposted to other	confidence and resilience
				Pupil progress will be closely tracked for targeted individuals and ELSA
				intervention reviewed regularly to identify impact or review strategy

Academic year					
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Maths: To improve the percentages of pupil premium pupils working at Age Related Expectation and Greater Depth in maths – particularly in Year 3, 4 and 6 but not excluding Year 5.	Teaching and Learning Support:  Coaching and mentoring from Pupil Premium Lead Teacher/Maths leader in order to support specific teachers identified as a result of observations/performance management targets  Release time for Year Leaders and their teams to identify specific needs for pupils, create individual learning passports, plan provision/allocate resources, review impact  £11,959	New and improved systems and structures introduced based on a Year 5 trial in the previous academic year indicated positive outcomes for this cohort. Now to be rolled out across the whole school  Support on improving and developing teachers and their teaching will no doubt have an impact on improving outcomes for all pupils.  Support for teachers has a positive impact on improving the school's overall percentage of good or outstanding teaching following coaching ad mentoring.	July 2017: Targets set for pupils aiming to be on track for Age Related Expectation and Greater Depth  Year group over view completed half termly based on latest data collection.  Named children identified: Stuck/need further support/ need further challenge – progress and attainment  Individual pupil passports completed by class teachers  Provision and tracking grid completed each half term  Impact statements completed termly to evaluate the effectiveness of any intervention  Pupil progress meetings take place to review impact of interventions half termly and alter/change provision  Half termly meetings take place with maths subject leader and pupil premium leader – lines of enquiry developed and acted upon. This will then be fed back to individual teaching teams/members of staff.  Parental workshops for parents to support understanding of end of Year expectations in maths for pupils working at Age related Expectations and Greater Depth.	PP Leader  Maths subject Leader	Half termly: Pupil Progress meeting Book look and lesson observations/ Informal drop ins with a focus or pupil premium pupils  Performance management and interim Performance Reviews  Meetings and action planning with Maths Subject Leader  Termly: LLP visits/Maths Lead Inspector  Pupil Premium Governor report visit - termly  Written report for Governors- data outcomes  PP Leader monitoring and evaluation of systems and structures each half term
Maths: To improve the percentages of pupil premium pupils working at Age Related Expectation and Greater Depth in maths – particularly in Year 3, 4 and 6 but not excluding Year 5.	Additional Teacher support in Year groups Additional teacher allocated to each year group in order to provide support/interventions/ opportunities for preaching and pupil conferencing as outlines in individual learning passports and year group provision overview. This includes groups to support children aiming for greater	Additional support from a qualified and experienced teacher will provide targeted support of disadvantaged pupils both in the classroom and for intervention/booster sessions.  One to one tuition, small group tuition can and will be carried out based on the needs of individuals. Education Endowment Fund Feedback effectiveness + 8  1:1 tuition +5  Small Group Tuition + 4  Extending school time + 2	July 2017: Targets set for pupils aiming to be on track for Age Related Expectation and Greater Depth  Pupils identified as requiring a specific intervention are identified on year group timetables Intervention/support take place as planned on provision map e.g. x3 per week or weekly etc.  Additional PP teachers working with a	All staff  PP Teachers  Year Leaders	

	Total Cost: £30,060		provides a brief overview of the focus. Additional adults will be aware of what the targets/aim of their involvement is. Where external opportunities arrive for the more able- teachers will consider PP children in the first instance.  Appropriate training and planning relevant to interventions carried out  Year groups held to account through use of additional teacher – in relation to improving outcomes for PP pupils. This will be through regular pupil progress meetings and progress towards EoY targets for PP pupils.	PP Leader and Year leaders All staff	
Reading: To improve the percentages of pupil premium pupils working at Age Related Expectation and Greater Depth in reading, particularly in Year 6 and 3, but not excluding Year 4 and 5.	Specific reading based intervention for Year 6: Sound training  Cost: £3100	End of Key Stage 1 attainment gap of current Year 6 wide and continues to be.  Year 6 teachers have identified that language, limited vocabulary, understanding of words meaning and words building skills are particularly weak for PP pupils. Sound Training (an intervention delivered to small groups) has a proven track record in other schools of improving reading ages – a minimum of a 12 month gain. This is likely to have a direct impact on SPaG outcomes too – particularly spelling and word understanding.  On line support for the whole school provided for this intervention.	Complete reading assessments at the start of the intervention  Identify children who are suitable for the intervention as outlined through sound training advice and with the support of the class teacher  Complete reading assessments at the end of the intervention to identify ratio gains	PP leader Sound Training Tutor Year 6 Team	

Reading: To improve the Teaching and Learning Effective in improving End of Key Stage Two July 2017: Targets set for pupils aiming to PP Leader Half termly: percentages of pupil Support: outcomes in 2017 compared to previous academic be on track for Age Related Expectation Pupil Progress meetings premium pupils working at and Greater Depth Age Related Expectation Coaching and mentoring from English Book look and lesson subject Leader and Greater Depth in Pupil Premium Lead New and improved systems and structures Year group over view completed half observations/ Informal reading, particularly in Year Teacher/English leader in introduced based on a Year 5 trial in the previous termly based on latest data collection. drop ins with a focus on 6 and 3, but not excluding order to support specific academic year indicated positive outcomes for this pupil premium pupils Year 4 and 5. teachers identified as a result cohort. Now to be rolled out across the whole Named children identified: Stuck/need of observations/performance further support/ need further challenge -Performance school management targets progress and attainment management and Support on improving and developing teachers interim Performance and their teaching will no doubt have an impact on Individual pupil passports completed by Reviews Release time for Year Leaders improving outcomes for all pupils. class teachers and their teams to identify Meetings and action specific needs for pupils, Support for teachers has a positive impact on Provision and tracking grid completed planning with Maths create individual learning improving the school's overall percentage of good each half term Subject Leader or outstanding teaching following coaching ad passports, plan provision/allocate resources, mentorina. Impact statements completed termly to Termly: review impact evaluate the effectiveness of any LLP visits/Maths Lead intervention Inspector Pupil Premium Governor Pupil progress meetings take place to review impact of interventions half termly report visit - termly and alter/change provision Written report for Half termly meetings take place with maths Governors- data subject leader and pupil premium leader outcomes lines of enquiry developed and acted upon. This will then be fed back to PP Leader monitoring individual teaching teams/members of and evaluation of staff. systems and structures each half term Parental workshops for parents to support understanding of end of Year expectations

in maths for pupils working at Age related Expectations and Greater Depth.

Reading: To improve the percentages of pupil premium pupils working at Age Related Expectation	Additional Teacher support in Year groups Additional teacher allocated to each year group in order to	Additional support from a qualified and experienced teacher will provide targeted support of disadvantaged pupils both in the classroom and for intervention/booster sessions.	July 2017: Targets set for pupils aiming to be on track for Age Related Expectation and Greater Depth	All staff	
and Greater Depth in reading, particularly in Year 6 and 3, but not excluding Year 4 and 5.	provide support/interventions/ opportunities for preaching and pupil conferencing as outlines in individual learning passports and year group provision overview. This includes groups to support	One to one tuition, small group tuition can and will be carried out based on the needs of individuals. Education Endowment Fund Feedback effectiveness + 8 1:1 tuition +5 Small Group Tuition + 4	Pupils identified as requiring a specific intervention are identified on year group timetables Intervention/support take place as planned on provision map e.g. x3 per week or weekly etc.	PP Teachers	
	children aiming for greater depth.	Extending school time + 2	Additional PP teachers working with a child will record their involvement and provides a brief overview of the focus. Additional adults will be aware of what the targets/aim of their involvement is. Where external opportunities arrive for the more able- teachers will consider PP children in the first instance.	Year Leaders and teachers PP Leader and Year leaders All staff	
			Appropriate training and planning relevant to interventions carried out  Year groups held to account through use of additional teacher – in relation to improving outcomes for PP pupils. This will be through regular pupil progress meetings and progress towards EoY targets for PP pupils.		

Writing: To improve the Teaching and Learning Effective in improving End of Key Stage Two July 2017: Targets set for pupils aiming to PP Leader Half termly: percentages of pupil Support: outcomes in 2017 compared to previous academic be on track for Age Related Expectation Pupil Progress meetings premium pupils working and Greater Depth at Age Related Coaching and mentoring from English Book look and lesson **Expectation and Greater** Pupil Premium Lead New and improved systems and structures Year group over view completed half subject Leader observations/ Informal Depth in writing. Teacher/English leader in introduced based on a Year 5 trial in the previous termly based on latest data collection. drop ins with a focus on particularly Year 3, 4 and order to support specific academic year indicated positive outcomes for this pupil premium pupils 6 but not excluding Year teachers identified as a result cohort. Now to be rolled out across the whole Named children identified: Stuck/need of observations/performance further support/ need further challenge -Performance school management targets progress and attainment management and Support on improving and developing teachers interim Performance and their teaching will no doubt have an impact on Individual pupil passports completed by Reviews Release time for Year Leaders improving outcomes for all pupils. class teachers and their teams to identify Meetings and action specific needs for pupils, Support for teachers has a positive impact on Provision and tracking grid completed planning with Maths create individual learning improving the school's overall percentage of good each half term Subject Leader or outstanding teaching following coaching ad passports, plan provision/allocate resources, mentorina. Impact statements completed termly to Termly: review impact evaluate the effectiveness of any LLP visits/Maths Lead intervention Inspector Pupil progress meetings take place to Pupil Premium Governor review impact of interventions half termly report visit - termly and alter/change provision Written report for Half termly meetings take place with maths Governors- data subject leader and pupil premium leader outcomes lines of enquiry developed and acted upon. This will then be fed back to PP Leader monitoring

individual teaching teams/members of

staff.

and evaluation of

systems and structures each half term

Writing: To improve the percentages of pupil premium pupils working at Age Related Expectation and Greater Depth in writing, particularly Year 3, 4 and 6 but not excluding Year 5.	Additional Teacher support in Year groups Additional teacher allocated to each year group in order to provide support/interventions/ opportunities for preaching and pupil conferencing as outlines in individual learning passports and year group provision overview. This includes groups to support children aiming for greater depth.	Additional support from a qualified and experienced teacher will provide targeted support of disadvantaged pupils both in the classroom and for intervention/booster sessions.  One to one tuition, small group tuition can and will be carried out based on the needs of individuals. Education Endowment Fund Feedback effectiveness + 8 1:1 tuition +5 Small Group Tuition + 4 Extending school time + 2	July 2017: Targets set for pupils aiming to be on track for Age Related Expectation and Greater Depth  Pupils identified as requiring a specific intervention are identified on year group timetables Intervention/support take place as planned on provision map e.g. x3 per week or weekly etc.  Additional PP teachers working with a child will record their involvement and provides a brief overview of the focus. Additional adults will be aware of what the targets/aim of their involvement is. Where external opportunities arrive for the more able- teachers will consider PP children in the first instance.  Appropriate training and planning relevant to interventions carried out  Year groups held to account through use of additional teacher – in relation to improving outcomes for PP pupils. This will be through regular pupil progress meetings and progress towards EoY targets for PP pupils.	All staff  PP Teachers  Year Leaders and teachers PP Leader and Year leaders  All staff	
To improve the outcomes for PP children with SEND in reading, writing and maths	SENCo to provide small group tuition to groups of PP with SEND pupils.  Learning Support Assistant time specifically allocated for PP with SEND pupils to deliver specific interventions such as First class n number, cued spelling, additional reading, and precision teaching.  Top up support/additional support for pupils without an EHCP who have significant needs – cognitive/behaviourally	Additional support from a qualified and experienced teacher will provide targeted support of disadvantaged pupils both in the classroom and for intervention/booster sessions.  One to one tuition, small group tuition can and will be carried out based on the needs of individuals. Education Endowment Fund Feedback effectiveness + 8 1:1 tuition +5 Small Group Tuition + 4 Extending school time + 2  Specialist support from Educational Psychologist	Regular formal meetings with SENCo and PP leader but also on going informal conversations week by week regarding PP pupils with SEND. Half termly review progress and impact of interventions.  Reading and spelling ages Review provision for pupils and adjust as and when appropriate  Provision map in place and all aware of their involvement and the plan of action Interventions take place true to their intent Appropriate training and planning relevant to interventions carried out  Weekly timetables in place which demonstrates provision for pupils with significant cognitive/behavioural needs	SENCo and pupil premium leader	

To increase attendance of Pupil Premium Pupils so that it is consistently in line with or exceeds National averages across all year groups and reduce the number of pupils who are persistently absent	Reward evening scheme for pupils whose attendance is 97% or above and/or shown significant improvement £421	Review of previous year demonstrates that the gap in % attendance for PP and non PP reduced in 3 out of 4 year groups.	Regular formal meetings but on going informal conversations week by week.  Attendance spreadsheets and provision map highlights pupils who are persistently absent.	PP Leader	
	Structured conversations - families targeted with attendance below 97%	Case studies identify significant improvements for targeted families – feedback back from families was very positive too. Impact on improving overall PP attendance in Year 4,5 and 6.	Structured conversation minutes recorded and distributed to relevant parties – includes review dates.  Regular and on going monitoring of pupil premium attendance ensures that structured conversations occur in a timely manner		
	Part of HSLW time allocated to supporting pupils who are persistently absent in order to improve attendance percentages for these pupils	HSLW has been effective in role in liaising with families to ensure that pupils arrive to school/and/or brought to school who would otherwise remain absent.	Clear systems and structures in place between Office and HSLW highlighting persistent absentees  HSLW to conduct welfare checks on persistent absent pupils who fail to attend school  HSLW and Pupil Premium Leader to review pupil's attendance regularly and take appropriate actions.		
To continue to support pupils and their families so that specific children are ready to learn emotionally, socially, physically, mentally and/or behaviourally.	Part funding of pastoral team – this includes : HSLW Parent Support Adviser ELSA £18,719	Increasing need amongst pupil premium pupils for emotional support. ELSA and HSLW to have allocated time to work on a 1:1 basis or in small groups to enable pupils to develop strategies to cope with anxiety/build self-esteem. Build friendships/manage anger.  Education Endowment Fund Social and Emotional Learning + 4	At least weekly intervention  ELSA and HSLW reviews and regular conversations  Clear referral process and timetable in place  Progress/case studies from ELSA/HSLW  SENCo evaluation of impact of ELSA/HSLW small group support.	SENCo HSLW ELSA	Pupil Progress meetings  Case studies  ELSA reviews completed  Parental reviews/TAF  Performance management reviews for support staff

	To continue to support pupils and their families so that specific children are ready to learn emotionally, socially, physically, mentally and/or behaviourally.	Trip/club subsidy (residential, Breakfast, music tuition, Hampshire Specialist music teacher, Author visits, holiday clubs, travelling theatres etc. Provision of school uniforms/lunches etc.  £13,258	Broadening opportunities in the wider curriculum will raise aspiration and motivation to experience new challenged. Families will be spared the cost thereby reducing the pressure on low income/struggling households/	Comprehensive knowledge of pupil premium families and their needs through liaison of PP leader, HSLW and other staff Wider factors such as transport considered in such participation Invitation where parents/carers can join activities where appropriate		£105.883	
Total budgeted cost							